

Pure-AMC

In Response

Evers, Veronika M.; Immink, Rogier V.; Hollmann, Markus W.; Veelo, Denise P.

Published in: Anesthesia and analgesia

DOI: 10.1213/ANE.00000000002819

Published: 01/01/2018

Citation for pulished version (APA): Evers, V. M., Immink, R. V., Hollmann, M. W., & Veelo, D. P. (2018). In Response. Anesthesia and analgesia, 126(4), 1427-1428. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.00000000002819

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

injury to the left main stem bronchus offered a potential solution to a very challenging clinical situation. In their patient, the posterior membranous aspect of the proximal left main bronchus had been inadvertently disrupted intraoperatively by a double-lumen endotracheal tube, thus requiring a right thoracotomy to facilitate the left bronchial injury site. To ventilate the left lung distal to this bronchial injury, the authors passed the blocker through the left lumen of the double-lumen endotracheal tube, advancing it beyond the bronchial breach. After inflating the blocker balloon, they attached the Ventrain ventilator device to the blocker's proximal end and were then able to use the Ventrain to alternatively inflate and actively deflate the left lung, thus providing some "ventilation" for the 20 minutes taken to repair the bronchus. Accordingly, they reported that the partial pressure of carbon dioxide (Paco₂) rose to 87 mmHg by the end of the 20-minute repair. Although the partial pressure of oxygen measured at the same time (94 mmHg) indicated that the patient had been adequately oxygenated, the significant hypercapnia suggested that the patient was grossly underventilated (and arguably might not have been ventilated at all).

Use of the Ventrain device has been reported in a number of different situations,^{2,3} including a report where emergency ventilation using the device was achieved in a porcine model of complete upper airway obstruction.³ In that animal study, the Ventrain was operated via a 3-mm internal diameter, 100-cm long Airway Exchange Catheter (Cook Medical Inc) which allowed for adequate ventilation (ie, normal blood gases). In contrast, the narrow 7-F lumen blocker that Evers et al¹ used had an internal diameter of only 1 mm, making it likely very difficult to provide adequate actively assisted expiration (a fundamental feature of Ventrain ventilation). As the Paco₂ in anesthetized and apneic patients has previously been reported to rise at a rate of 3.4 mmHg·CO₂·minute^{-1,4} the 20 minutes required to repair the bronchus would have expected to lead to an approximate 60 mmHg increase in Paco₂ from the pre-Ventrain baseline, even if the patient was apneic. Importantly, this increase would have resulted in a Paco₂ close to the final value that they actually reported. As for the partial pressure of oxygen, the adequate oxygenation may simply have been a result of providing some small amount of oxygen insufflation into the distal bronchus to match the metabolic demands and alveolar oxygen uptake (ie, approximately 250 mL·minute⁻¹ oxygen consumption⁵).

So although using the Ventrain through a 7F blocker may have allowed the oxygenation needed to safely complete a 20-minute surgical procedure, this might similarly have been accomplished if free flow of oxygen had been provided to the bronchus without any ventilation at all.³ Although the authors should rightly be enthusiastic about the potential for this combined Ventrain and Arndt blocker technique, it should perhaps be tempered pending more investigation of the adequacy of its ventilation capability in clinical practice.

Hilary P. Grocott, MD, FRCPC, FASE

Department of Anesthesia, Perioperative and Pain Medicine University of Manitoba Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada hgrocott@sbgh.mb.ca

REFERENCES

- 1. Evers VM, Immink RV, van Boven WJP, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Hollmann MW, Veelo DP. Intraoperative use of the Ventrain for single lung ventilation after iatrogenic trauma to the left main bronchus during thoracoscopy: a case report. *A A Case Rep.* 2017;9:116–118.
- Lang SA. Emergency airway management: What are the roles for surgical cricothyroidotomy and the Ventrain(®) device? *Can J Anaesth.* 2016;63:997–998.
- de Wolf MW, Gottschall R, Preussler NP, Paxian M, Enk D. Emergency ventilation with the Ventrain[®] through an airway exchange catheter in a porcine model of complete upper airway obstruction. *Can J Anaesth*. 2017;64:37–44.
- Stock MC, Schisler JQ, McSweeney TD. The PaCO2 rate of rise in anesthetized patients with airway obstruction. *J Clin Anesth*. 1989;1:328–332.
- González-Arévalo A, Gómez-Arnau JI, delaCruz J, Lacoma F, Galdos P, García-del-Valle S. Oxygen consumption measurement: agreement between the closed-circuit PhysioFlex anesthesia machine and the Deltatrac II indirect calorimeter. *Anesth Analg.* 2003;97:1680–1685.

DOI: 10.1213/ANE.00000000002818

In Response

Thank you for sending through the comments kindly made by Professor Grocott on our article.¹ We found his comments very interesting, apposite, and helpful, and are most grateful for his interest in our report.

In our case report, we described the thoracoscopic repair of an iatrogenic lesion of the left main bronchus. This lesion was noticed by the surgeon because the inflated bronchial cuff of the left-sided double lumen tube was bulging through it. Repair was challenging because the right lung had to remain deflated to optimize visibility. But also the bulging bronchial cuff had to be deflated. Positive pressure ventilation through a double lumen tube with both cuffs deflated is inadequate. Therefore, to maintain oxygenation, we placed a 7F Arndt blocker behind the lesion and oxygenated the left lung with the Ventrain device. After the 20 minutes lasting closing procedure, the Paco₂ rose to 87 mm Hg while the Pao₂ was 94 mm Hg.

In his letter, Professor Grocott stated that the maintained Pao_2 indicated that the patient has been adequately oxygenated but that the significant hypercapnia suggested that the patient was grossly underventilated (and arguably might not have been ventilated at all). So although using the Ventrain through a 7F blocker (ID 1.12 mm) may have allowed the oxygenation needed to safely complete a 20-minute surgical procedure, this might similarly have been accomplished if free flow of oxygen had been provided to the bronchus without any ventilation at all.

We absolutely agree with Professor Grocott that during the repair of the left main stem bronchus ventilation was inadequate to clear all carbon dioxide produced but we disagree that ventilation was totally absent. Stock et al² sampled the Paco₂ in anesthetized subjects and found that the Paco₂ increased 12 mm Hg in the first minute and then raised 3.4 mm Hg·minute⁻¹ between minute 2 and 5. If we would extrapolate this linear increase in Paco₂ to 20 minutes apnea, the calculation shows that the Paco₂ would increase 76.6 mm Hg. A Paco₂ increase of 76.6 mm Hg after 20 minutes related to the measured Paco₂ of 87 mm Hg would implicate that the Paco₂ before repair would be ~10 mm Hg. We did not assess an arterial blood gas sample before we started the Ventrain use but we retrospectively determined the $P_{ET}CO_2$ from the anesthetic report and found that it was 37 mm Hg.

Stock et al² in the obstructed patient and Eger and Severinghaus³ in the unobstructed patients during apneic oxygenation determined that the rate of rise of $Paco_2$ averages 12–13.4 mL in the first minute (if the patient is not hyperventilated before apneic oxygenation) and 3–3.4 mL/min each minute thereafter.

As mentioned above there was subnormal removal of carbon dioxide in this case. A further contributory factor to this was the set phases of ventilation with intermittent equilibration as prescribed in the Ventrain User Manual, to prevent damage to the lung by hyperinflation.

When working with Ventrain, it is basically possible to estimate the volume of gas that has been insufflated each time by using intermittent capnometry.⁴ A sidestream capnographer can draw a sample from the breathing gas during equilibration and the value can be read off the display. That way one knows whether to use a higher or lower flow of the pressure-compensated oxygen source. However, the time required for the expiration depends on the resistance of the ventilation system, in particular on the ventilation catheter.

If the upper respiratory system is partially or completely obstructed, a very high intrapulmonary pressure could build up, eg, if the Ventrain was operated outside the limits specified in the manual. The circulation could then be impeded and the cardiovascular system may even collapse.

This problem can be avoided by allowing for sufficiently extended equilibration periods, although admittedly at the expense of a reduction of the achievable minute volume. Equilibration is facilitated by the deactivating and thereby functional disconnection of the device. This results in a slow, passive equilibration of the intrapulmonary and atmospheric pressures. An alternative to this is, as recently described, intermittent measurement of intrapulmonary pressure, eg, with a cuff pressure manometer.⁵ In our case, we introduced

frequent equilibration pauses. However, these pauses did indeed further contribute to hypoventilation.

Had our patient been in the supine position, or even better still supine with an elevated upper body or in the reverse Trendelenburg position, we would have very possibly used oxygen insufflation but the additional pressure obtainable with Ventrain was a key factor in our considerations in this case. Clearly both techniques have their place in the anesthesiologist's armamentarium.

We again thank Professor Grocott for his comments and particularly applaud his closing remarks. More study on insufflation techniques—particularly in elective clinical settings such as airway surgery and larynx inspection under general anesthetic—is certainly necessary.

> Veronika M. Evers, MD Rogier V. Immink, MD, PhD Markus W. Hollmann, MD, PhD Denise P. Veelo, MD, PhD Department of Anesthesiology Academic Medical Center Amsterdam Amsterdam, the Netherlands

REFERENCES

- Evers VM, Immink RV, van Boven WJP, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Hollmann MW, Veelo DP. Intraoperative use of the ventrain for single lung ventilation after iatrogenic trauma to the left main bronchus during thoracoscopy: a case report. A A Case Rep. 2017;9:116–118.
- Stock MC, Schisler JQ, McSweeney TD. The PaCO2 rate of rise in anesthetized patients with airway obstruction. *J Clin Anesth*. 1989;1:328–332.
- 3. Eger EI, Severinghaus JW. The rate of rise of PaCO2 in the apneic anesthetized patient. *Anesthesiology*. 1961;22:419–425.
- Hamaekers AE, Borg PA, Enk D. Ventrain: an ejector ventilator for emergency use. Br J Anaesth. 2012;108:1017–1021.
- de Wolf M, Gottschall R, Enk D. Monitoring during ventilation with Ventrain®. *Anaesthesist*. 2017;66:207–208.

DOI: 10.1213/ANE.000000000002819